Attention: To Whom It May Concern:

Subject: Addendum No. 2 to JPL RFP No. JD-2673-584730

This addendum is to notify you of new changes/clarifications/questions in relation to the subject RFP, with JPL's answers, as follows:

CHANGES

Change No. 1:

The new due date of all written proposals is on or before April 23, 2004, 3:00 P.M., Pacific Daylight time.

Change No. 2:

The new dates of the oral proposals will be April 26 & 27, 2004.

Change No. 3:

Notification to JPL of intent to bid is changed to on or before April 21, 2004.

Change No. 4:

In the Specimen Contract, Article 1, change the dates of the three Oral Briefings to the following: Three months after date of Contract, six months after date of contract, and nine months after date of contract, respectively.

CLARIFICATIONS

Clarification No. 1:

Regarding the RFP Cost Instructions...Proposers are to provide the total cost and average cost per completed Questionnaire for each of the three Oral Briefings of the Specimen Contract's Article 2.

Clarification No. 2:

Regarding the RFP's Technical/Management Criteria and Factors, page 4, Paragraph 1 (Criteria No. 1), delete the first sentence and substitute as follows: "Proposers are to indicate how many, and which (by name), of the instruments given in Exhibit II they plan on submitting appropriately completed Questionnaires of Exhibit I, for each of the three Oral Briefings of Article 1, of the Specimen Contract. (NOTE: Bold-faced, italicized words are the added words)

Clarification No. 3:

If a military instrument makes sense to add to Exhibit III it can be so added.

Clarification No. 4:

JPL will pay for the percentage of questionnaires completed in any of the given three periods. For example, if the Contractor said it would complete 30 questionnaires for the first period (for the first Oral Briefing), and it completes only 25, JPL will pay the Contractor for 25. The

remaining five may be completed in a subsequent period, if possible, and would be paid for when payment for that subsequent period is conducted.

QUESTIONS

Question No. 1:

What incentive is there for the current locations (i.e., NASA centers, universities, etc.) to offer the contractor of this effort the needed instrument information?

Answer No. 1:

- Providing cost and technical data to NASA and its support contractors for cost model development work will ensure that the hardware development contractor receives the latest <u>releasable</u> editions of NASA cost models developed from this data. (Note: Releasable versions of the models contain only the Cost Estimating Relationships (CERs) and do not contain the supporting data which may be competition sensitive).
- NASA support contractors who are collecting data for NASA cost model development
 are held accountable with Non Disclosure Agreements (NDAs). NASA will supply our
 support contractors with a letter from NASA authorizing the support contractor to collect
 data for NASA and outlining the Non Disclosure Agreement that controls the data from
 being released to any other hardware development contractor.
- As a consequence of cooperating with NASA cost data collection and cost model development work, the hardware development contractor may well establish valuable contacts of government and support contractor cost experts for future consultation and may make further valuable contracts with the NASA technical and project management community. Such contacts within the overall aerospace *community of practice* of cost analysis can prove valuable for both the contractor and government organizations.

Question No. 2:

What is JPL's objective in this effort?

Answer No. 2:

To develop an instrument cost data base and cost model.

In accordance with the RFP's General Instructions, you must indicate receipt of any and all addendums on Attachment A-1 that is to be sent with your proposal.

Thank you for your interest in this matter.

Very truly yours,

John T. Davis Subcontracts Manager